

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,447 10/29/2001		10/29/2001	Derek E. Poppink	20412-06420	4076
758	7590	06/16/2005		EXAMINER	
FENWIC	K & WES	T LLP	KINDRED, ALFORD W		
SILICON VALLEY CENTER 801 CALIFORNIA STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041				2163	

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, ŧ	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summers	10/046,447	POPPINK ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alford W. Kindred	2163					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from y cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this communication, D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ju	<u>ıne 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.	ction is non-final.					
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12-66 and 68-131</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12-66 and 68-131</u> is/are rejected	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12-66 and 68-131</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers		•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
•	·						
Attachment(s)	A) [ ]	(DTO 442)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· —	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

M

Application/Control Number: 10/046,447 Page 2

Art Unit: 2163

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: RCE, filed on 6/3/05.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-10, 12-66, and 68-131 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grefenstette et al., US# 2003/0069877 A1, in view of Brady, US# 2004/0088318 A1.

As per claims 1, 18, 44, and 46-47 Grefenstette et al. teaches "extracting at least one query key . . ." (see page 33, paragraphs [0483]-[0484]) "at least one query result from at least one data source" (see page 13, paragraphs [0238]-[0241]) "evaluating the received at least one query result; and displaying at least one received query result" (see page 13, paragraph [0237]-[0238]) "wherein extracting, querying, receiving . . ." (see page 6, paragraph [0151]-[0154]). Grefenstette et al. does not explicitly tech "responsive to a connection with at least one data source being available per-fetching at least one query . . . asynchronously with respect to user interaction with the primary document . . . without regard to whether a connection with a data source is available." Brady teaches "responsive to a connection with at least one data source being available per-fetching at least one query . . . asynchronously with respect to user interaction with

Art Unit: 2163

the primary document . . . without regard to whether a connection with a data source is available" (see paragraph [0114] and [0302]). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention for one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teachings of Grefenstette and Bady because using the steps of "responsive to a connection with at least one data source being available per-fetching at least one query . . . asynchronously with respect to user interaction with the primary document . . . without regard to whether a connection with a data source is available" would have giving those skilled in the art the tools to gather information whether the system is on or off-line. This gives users the advantage of retrieving data from sources when they are unavailable.

As per claims 2, 4, and 26 Grefenstette et al. teaches "receiving the primary document . . . displaying at least . . ." (see page 27, paragraphs [0405]-[406] and page 28, paragraph [0417]).

As per claim 3, Grefenstette et al. teaches "accessing the primary document . . ." (see page 13, paragraphs [0232]-[0234]).

As per claims 5, 7, 9, and 18 Grefenstette et al. teaches "an electronic communication" (see page 6, paragraphs [0151]-[0152]).

As per claims 6, 8, and 10 Grefenstette et al. teaches "an e-mail message" (see page 9, paragraphs [0192]).

As per claims 16-17, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and is similarly rejected.

Art Unit: 2163

As per claim 12, Grefenstette et al. teaches "storing the evaluated at least one query result" (see page 14, paragraph [0250] and [0255]) "displaying the retrieved at least one query result" (see page 41, paragraphs [0571]-[0572]).

As per claim 13, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 1 and 12 and is similarly rejected including the following:

-- Grefenstette et al. "displaying a preview of at least one query result . . . receiving a selection of one of the previewed items . . ." (see page 41, paragraph [0571]).

As per claims 14-15, Grefenstette et al. " teaches "retrieving the item from a cache" (see page 41, paragraphs [0578]-[0579]).

As per claims 19 and 22, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 5-6 and are similarly rejected.

As per claim 20, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 5-6 and is similarly rejected including the following:

-- Grefenstette et al. teaches "transmitting across a firewall" (see page 2, paragraph [0014] and page 1, paragraph [0013]).

As per claims 21 and 23, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim19 and are similarly rejected claim 5-6 and is similarly rejected including the following:

--Grefenstette et al. teaches "XML-encoded . . . " (see page 5, paragraph

Art Unit: 2163

[0144]).

As per claim 24, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and is similarly rejected including the following:

-- Grefenstette et al. teaches "at least one information appliance" (see page 5, page [0144] i.e. "standards").

As per claim 25, Grefenstette et al. teaches "a visitor kiosk; a meeting recorder; a presentation recorder . . . a document management device" (see fig. 55—sheet 55 of 69, i.e. interface).

As per claim 27, Grefenstette et al. teaches "whether the query result has previously been displayed" (see page 28, paragraphs [0418]-[0419] and page 29, paragraph [0435]).

As per claims 28-29 and 37-38, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 2 and is similarly rejected including the following:

As per claims 30-31, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and are similarly rejected including the following:

Art Unit: 2163

-- Grefenstette et al. teaches "querying at least one data source with at least one secondary query key . . ." (see page 33, paragraphs [0483]-[0485]).

As per claim 32, Grefenstette et al. teaches "displaying at least one received query . . . currently active software application" (see page 12, paragraphs [0220]-[0222]).

As per claims 33-35 and 55, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and is similarly rejected.

--Grefenstette et al. teaches "displaying the query result in a sidebar plane adjacent to a currently active on-screen window" (see page 12, paragraphs [0219]-[2220]).

As per claims 36 and 56, Grefenstette et al. teaches "query result comprises a hyperlink to a resource . . ." (see pages 12-13, paragraph [0230]).

As per claim 39, Grefenstette et al. teaches "query results is performed responsive to the context of the query key in the primary document" (see page 13, paragraphs [0238]-[0240]).

As per claims 40, 42, and 48, Grefenstette et al. teaches "a network-connected computer containing shared information" (see page 1, paragraph [0007]).

As per claim 41, Grefenstette et al. teaches "a shared directory" (see page 2, paragraphs [0014]).

As per claim 43, Grefenstette et al. teaches "an electronic communication; word processing documents, spreadsheet document . . . a file; an image . . . " (see page 8, paragraphs [0180]-[0181]).

Art Unit: 2163

As per claim 45, Grefenstette et al. teaches "a part-of-speech analysis to the primary document" (see page 6, paragraphs [0157]-[0158]).

As per claim 49, Grefenstette et al. teaches "a portable computing device" (see fig. 2—sheet 2 of 69, i.e. 219).

As per claims 50 and 52, Grefenstette et al. teaches "a text document" (see page 4, paragraph [0125]).

As per claims 51 and 53, Grefenstette et al. teaches "a non-text document" (see page 4, paragraphs [0119]-[0125]).

As per claim 54, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and is similarly rejected including the following:

-- Grefenstette et al. teaches "displaying the query result in a calendar display" (see page 6, paragraph [0162] and page 35, paragraphs [0505]-[0506]).

As per claims 57-58 and 60, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 33-35 and are similarly rejected.

As per claims 59 and 61, Grefenstette et al. teaches "displaying a menu . . . user selection of the at least one command, displaying a query result" (see page 37, paragraphs [0522]).

As per claims 62—66 and 68-98, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding the arguments given above for rejected claims 1 and 8-61 and are similarly rejected.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,447 Page 8

Art Unit: 2163

As per claim 100-131, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 1-10, 12-66, and 68-98 and are similarly rejected.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-131 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2163

### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alford W. Kindred whose telephone number is 571-272-4037. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:00 am- 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on (571) 272-4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alford W. Kindred Patent Examiner Tech Ctr. 2100